## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

MONSANTO COMPANY and	)	
MONSANTO TECHNOLOGY LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No. 4:09-CV-00686-ERW
	)	
E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND	)	
COMPANY and PIONEER HI-BRED	)	
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

## MOTION TO UNSEAL MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. and Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. ("DuPont & Pioneer") request that the Court unseal the Memorandum and Order entered by the Court on July 30, 2010 (the "July 30, 2010 Order") (Dkt. # 283).

DuPont & Pioneer respectfully suggest that the July 30, 2010 Order does not contain any "Confidential" information, as that term is defined in the Protective Order entered by the Court on June 28, 2010 (Dkt. # 238). Any information in the July 30, 2010 Order that is even arguably confidential is already available to the public through the Court's January 15, 2010 Memorandum and Order (the "January 15, 2010 Order") (Dkt. # 124), which the July 30, 2010 Order vacates in part, or through the transcripts from the October 23, 2009 hearing (Dkt. # 103) and the June 21, 2010 hearing (Dkt. # 240). For example, the contractual language discussed at page 3 of the July 30, 2010 Order was previously quoted on page 4 of the publicly-available January 15, 2010 Memorandum and Order.

On July 30, 2010, DuPont & Pioneer asked Monsanto to advise whether it opposes the unsealing of the July 30, 2010 Order, but Monsanto has not yet provided a substantive response

to this inquiry. DuPont & Pioneer request a short telephone conference with the Court to discuss, and hopefully resolve, this issue at the Court's earliest convenience. The instant motion is time-sensitive because, among other things, the July 30, 2010 Order clarifies and defines the permissible scope of discovery regarding DuPont & Pioneer's "switching strategy" allegations. DuPont & Pioneer need to show the July 30, 2010 Order to third parties who have received subpoenas seeking documents related to those "switching strategy" allegations, some of whom have objected to producing responsive documents while the motion to clarify remained unresolved.

Dated: August 3, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

## LEWIS RICE FINGERSH, L.C.

By: /s/ C. David Goerisch
Andrew Rothschild, #4214
C. David Goerisch, #77207
600 Washington Ave., Suite 2500
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
arothschild@lewisrice.com
dgoerisch@lewisrice.com

Thomas F. Fleming Christopher T. Jagoe Howard S. Suh KAYE SCHOLER LLP 425 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022 lbenami@kayescholer.com tfleming@kayescholer.com cjagoe@kayescholer.com

Leora Ben-Ami

Donald L. Flexner **BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP** 575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Fl. New York, New York 10022 dflexner@bsfllp.com James P. Denvir Amy J. Mauser BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 5301 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20015 jdenvir@bsfllp.com amauser@bsfllp.com

Counsel for Defendants E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 3, 2010, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

- Steven M. Berezney steve.berezney@huschblackwell.com
- Kurt G. Calia kcalia@cov.com
- Matthew A. Campbell macampbell@winston.com
- Scott W. Clark sclark@mwe.com,knappj@howrey.com
- **Joseph P. Conran** joe.conran@huschblackwell.com
- Todd J. Ehlman tehlman@winston.com
- Anthony J. Franze
  Anthony\_Franze@aporter.com
- **Greg G. Gutzler** greg.gutzler@huschblackwell.com
- Susan K. Knoll sknoll@mwe.com
- Omri E. Praiss omri.praiss@huschblackwell.com
- **John J. Rosenthal** jrosenthal@winston.com
- **Steven G. Spears** sspears@mwe.com,knappj@howrey.com,jordanc@howrey.com

- Tamara M. Spicer tamara.spicer@husch.com,jean.melenbrink@husch.com
- Dan K. Webb dwebb@winston.com

/s/ C. David Goerisch
-----------------------